

Review Form2

Book Name:	Research Advances in Environment, Geography and Earth Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2594
Title of the Manuscript:	ESTIMATING DROUGHT SEVERITY THROUGH RAINFALL ANOMALY INDEX IN EXCEL
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The problem of drought is very important for agriculture in many countries, therefore, the severity of drought and its different periods must be studied in order to prepare better to cope with its risques and consequences.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	ESTIMATING DROUGHT SEVERITY THROUGH RAINFALL ANOMALY IN KARNATAKA, INDIA	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not comprehensive, it does not emphasize the aim of the study as well as the problematic.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	-	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors should cite the previous studies conducted in the same area or any similar zone and their main results, the authors must explain the motives of this studies, the study area must be presented broadly by developing the most important climate features of the region as well as the agricultural potentials and realities along with socioeconomic impact.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	No, many mentioned sources in the references section are not mentioned in the text (only five references are actually cited)	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is poor, the text needs linguistic revision and vocabulary enrichment.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Boultif Meriem
Department, University & Country	University of Batna, Algeria