

Review Form2

Book Name:	Research Advances in Environment, Geography and Earth Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2594
Title of the Manuscript:	ESTIMATING DROUGHT SEVERITY THROUGH RAINFALL ANOMALY INDEX IN EXCEL
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences about the importance of this manuscript to the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The objective of this work is to evaluate and characterize rainfall data from 1979 to 2019 in the agroclimatic zones of the Karnataka transition region. It provides useful information for farmers and decision-makers on the climate evolution of the area.	
Is the title of the article appropriate? (If not, please suggest another title)	The title needs a bit of adjustment. I suggest this title "Assessing the climate evolution of the Karnata area. Use of precipitation indices	
Is the abstract of the article complete? Do you suggest adding (or removing) any points in this section? Pleasewriteyour suggestions here.	The methodology and the results must be added to the summary	
Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific accuracy of this manuscript. Why do you think this manuscript is scientifically sound and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The results are not well interpreted. It is necessary to supplement this result with evapotranspiration data and soil data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have any suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the evaluation form.	Bibliographical references must be updated	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahamat Nour Abdallah
Department, University & Country	University of N'Djamena, Chad