

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2683
Title of the Manuscript:	Pattern of extra pulmonary tuberculosis among urban population with special reference to CBNAAT as a diagnostic tool: a retrospective study at a tertiary care hospital of Odisha, India
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is an extremely important topic because extra pulmonary Tuberculosis has always been under-diagnosed. CBNAAT has clear benefits over traditional phenotypic methods due to its higher sensitivity and specificity. I like this manuscript because it is in line with the aim of achieving the goal of TB elimination by 2025.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The methodology should be a little more detailed. Also some missing words are there.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There are a few issues: 1. Why the patients with pulmonary involvement were excluded from the study? 2. Was pulmonary component ruled out in cases of pleural TB? 3. A lot of grammatical errors need to be corrected.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are sufficient but not recent.	

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No	
Optional/General comments	The content is good but it needs better organisation and grammatical improvement.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antriksh Srivastava
Department, University & Country	T. S. Misra Medical College & Hospital, India