

Review Form2

Book Name:	Contemporary Research and Perspectives in Biological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2747
Title of the Manuscript:	epidemiology and pathogenesis of the Bovine herpesvirus 2 infection (bovine herpes mammillitis): Disease in response to initial antibody production
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study contains scientifically important information. The author states: “The current literature on the BoHV-2 infection was reviewed, and it was concluded that important questions concerning the pathogenesis and the routes of transmission within and between herds were still not clarified.” However, when I looked at the references, no current references were cited. (The references are mostly from the 1900s and there is one reference from the year 2000. It provides valuable information on the subject.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is recommended to use current references.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript should be reconsidered using current references. References are not up to date.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	English is sufficient.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The subject should be reconsidered using current references. The subject is important. It is recommended to use current references.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Omer Baris Ince
Department, University & Country	Necmettin Erbakan University, Turkey