

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2782
Title of the Manuscript:	Review of intraoperative durotomy, management strategies, and current advances in treatment
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is interesting topic well explained about it and its management and advances in treatment strategies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	NO, Author can change titlein this way- Review of Intraoperative Durotomies in Spinal Surgery and its management Strategies with Current Advances in Treatment	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Well written but if author adds 2-3 lines more that would be excellecnt for this review paper	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is interesting topic; durotomy i.e. incidental or intentional, is one of complication during spine surgery; well explained about it and its management strategies; It has given key points to be alert during spinal surgery and how to handle intraoperative and postoperative management with varieties of advanced methods	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Yes, there are fewsenetenes where author can add referece to make it better which i have mentioned that where references are required.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor revision-with some correction in spelling and sentences Blue highlight part in sentences -delete	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohan Karki
Department, University & Country	Neuro Cardio &Multispeciality Hospital, Nepal