

Review Form2

Book Name:	THERMODYNAMICS STUDY ON THE COAL BED METHANE ADSORPTION CHARACTERISTIC
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2805
Title of the Manuscript:	THERMODYNAMICS STUDY ON THE COAL BED METHANE ADSORPTION CHARACTERISTIC
Type of the Article	Complete Book

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Coalbed methane should be carefully treated as an energy source, so its relevant to know its adsorption characteristics. The book presents a good background to understand the physical and thermodynamics characteristics of the coalbed methane. The Langmuir isotherm is used to represents the adsorption characteristics of the coalbed methane.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is adequate	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I consider the book can be publish in its current form. It seems is a dissertation document since its well written and documented and looks it has been previously reviewed and properly corrected. All the discussion is according to the experimental results and compared to other references.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Yes	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	I consider the way the references are cited in the text must be reviewed. It must follow the instruction given in the guide for authors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Roberto Flores
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, Mexico