

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2871
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of validation of Anderson score for diagnosis of acute appendicitis by histopathology
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a good article on the importance of using clinical scoring systems,the Anderson scoring system to diagnose acute appendicitis	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It would be better to use the following title-Validation of the Anderson scoring system to diagnose acute appendicitis	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is fairly well written	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes the structure of the manuscript is appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The cut off of the Anderson score of 8 is good to decide if an appendectomy is required,but as the Andersons score has three levels of 0-4,5-7,8-10,it would be better to tabulate the actuals scores of the patients in the study.Comparison with another scoring system like the Alvarado score would be better to compare the sensitivity,specificity,positive predictive value and ngative predictive value.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references need to be increased and should include more on other scoring systems like the following Farahbakhsh, F., Torabi, M., &Mirzaee, M. (2020). A comparative study on the diagnostic validity of three scoring systems in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis in emergency centres. <i>African journal of emergency medicine : Revue africaine de la medecined'urgence</i> , 10(3), 132–135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.afjem.2020.04.009	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and grammar are adequate.	
Optional/General comments	This is a good topic on the importance of scoring systems for acute appendicitis but comparison with other scoring systems is important to evaluate its efficacy.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kumar Hari Rajah
Department, University & Country	Taylor’s University, School of Medicine and Health Science, Malaysia