

Review Form2

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2050
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the hydraulic efficiency of the sprinkler irrigation
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This work is very important for implementing sprinkler irrigation systems efficiently, despite the English language used to write it needs to be improved, and some issues in presenting the results and the discussion.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is ok.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good, however, the authors need to give some important results they have achieved, so I suggest writing them and avoiding writing some irrelevant phrases as mentioned in the comments.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is written scientifically and uses a scientific approach for the calculation of different parameters needed for the evaluation of sprinkler irrigation systems and determining the efficiency of their work.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are relatively recent as most of them were written in the last 10 years, the authors could use more recent references for the last 5 years.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language needs to be improved by using more connective words and to work in the structure of sentences.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahamd Majar
Department, University & Country	Syria