

Review Form2

Book Name:	Disease and Health Research - New Insights
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2056
Title of the Manuscript:	The Risk Assessment for the Healthcare Waste in the Hospital of Batna City, Algeria
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific community will find great value in this work on healthcare waste management. It helps improve patient, healthcare worker, and public health outcomes by analyzing the risks associated with the improper treatment of medical waste. Identifying and managing hazards can be done practically by using Preliminary Hazard Analysis (PHA). In general, this study improves our understanding of healthcare waste management and encourages safer procedures in medical care facilities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The following are some more article titles about healthcare waste management: 1."International Difficulties with Health Care Waste Management" 2."Navigating Hazardous Waste: A Request for Coordinated Guidelines" 3."Sustainable Methods for Medical Waste Elimination" " 4.Health and Environment: Harmonizing Waste Management Practices"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The challenges regarding healthcare waste management at the Hospital of Batna City are well-explained in the article's abstract, which is adequate. It successfully addresses the main facets of the issue, the approach taken, and the conclusions. Nonetheless, the following recommendations can improve completeness and clarity: 1. Explain the Significance of PHA: 2. Provide a brief explanation of the findings' wider significance for healthcare waste management procedures.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The overall structure appears appropriate, yet it could get benefit from clearer subsections to improve readability and ensure that every section of the writing fulfills its goal well. Review each part to ensure that it follows the prescribed format and effectively conveys the contributions and conclusions of the study. Here are some additional points. 1. Improve the Title: To better convey the purpose of the study, include relevant terms like "Preliminary Hazard Analysis" to make it more precise. Describe the Introduction in Detail: precisely state the problem, the research aims, and the relevance.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically sound, as it integrates a rigorous methodology with a detailed context-specific analysis. The application of Preliminary Hazard Analysis (PHA) offers a robust framework for assessing risks associated with healthcare waste, while the study's focus on the specific conditions of Batna City adds practical relevance. The careful examination of waste types and risk levels, supported by empirical data, ensures the technical accuracy of the results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references appear to be sufficient to give background and support the views presented. However, for a thorough academic or professional work, it is critical to ensure that the references in the text are properly referenced and match the sources provided in the bibliography. Check for citation style uniformity and ensure that all references are easily accessible and accurate.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article is generally suitable for scholarly communication. Additionally, consulting a professional editor could be beneficial for ensuring the language is polished and precise.	
Optional/General comments	Expanding the literature review section would strengthen the background information and may highlight gaps that study aims to fill. The manuscript presents a well-structured study on the management of risky healthcare waste (RHCW) in Batna city hospital, employing Preliminary Hazard Analysis (PHA) to identify and assess potential hazards. The topic is timely and relevant, particularly in the context of increasing awareness of healthcare waste management's public health and environmental implications.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prerna Khati
Department, University & Country	Mangalyatan University, India