

[BPISReviewForm070520](#)

BookName:	ScienceandTechnology-RecentUpdatesandFutureProspects
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_BPR_1709
TitleoftheManuscript:	AsbestosPresencein20thCenturyBuildings
TypeoftheArticle	Bookchapter

[BPIReviewForm070520](#)

[BPIReviewForm070520](#)

PART1: ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Isthe manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	The manuscript is of great importance to the scientific community, given the relevance of the topic addressed.	
Isthe title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative title in your mind?	The title "Presence of asbestos in 20th century buildings" is suitable, as it is clear and direct, indicating the central theme of the article. However, to make it more attractive and specific, you could consider some variations, such as: "Impact of asbestos in construction: Presence in 20th century buildings" "Asbestos in 20th century architecture: An analysis of its presence in buildings" "Risks associated with the presence of asbestos in 20th century buildings" These options highlight not only the presence of asbestos, but also its implications and risks, which could attract more attention from the reader.	
Isthe abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The abstract is clear and concise in relation to the topic addressed in the article, providing an effective overview of the key points and the most relevant findings. Its structure allows the reader to quickly grasp the essence of the content, facilitating the understanding of the objectives, the methodology used, and the conclusions reached. In addition, the use of accessible and direct language helps even those who are not familiar with the topic to understand the importance and context of the research presented.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	In general, yes, the article presents an acceptable level of quality in the use of English for the academic context. However, it is suggested that you carry out a thorough review of the writing, since there are sentences in certain segments that are redundant and can hinder the clarity of the message. It is important to optimize the expression to ensure that each idea is communicated effectively and accurately. In addition, it is recommended that you remove the reference "Error! Reference source not found" from the document, or, failing that, clarify what it refers to exactly. This reference can cause confusion in readers and detract from the professionalism of the article. A careful review will not only improve the flow of the text but will also reinforce its credibility in the academic field.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The article is relevant and uses clear language. The sections are clearly differentiated, which denotes a well-structured methodology. However, it would be beneficial for the author to consider undertaking a thorough review of his or her work, incorporating new data, analysis or perspectives that enrich the content and offer added value to what is already available in the existing literature. In this way, the manuscript could fulfill its potential and generate greater interest and discussion in the scientific field.	

BPIReviewForm070520

Doyouthinkthatthereferencesinthemanuscriptareproper,recentand sufficient? Ifyouhaveanysuggestions,pleasewritehere.	Itisrecommendedthatseveralcitationsolderthanfiveyearsbeupdated.Whilesomeoftheses citationsmaybeacceptedinahistoricalcontext,othersarenot,astheresearchpresentedisnotframed withinahistoricalapproach.Theinclusionofmorerecentreferencesiscrucialtoensurethatthearti clereflectscurrentadvancesanddevelopmentsinstudy.Thiswillnotonlystrengthen thevalidityof theargumentspresentedbutwillalsoallowthereadertoaccessmorerelevantandup-to- dateinformation,thusimprovingtheoverallqualityofthework.Athoroughreviewofthecitationswi llcontributetogreater credibilityandacademicrigorinthe presentationoftheresultsandconclusions.	
---	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jairo Eduardo Marquez Diaz
Department, University & Country	Cundinamarca University, Colombia