

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1709
Title of the Manuscript:	Asbestos Presence in 20th Century Buildings
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	This manuscript is considered to be for dissemination. It may be a reference for the state of the art of other manuscripts and thesis works, as long as the description of other aspects such as product processing, mineral extraction, asbestos fiber processing, etc. is considered. In addition, more and older references should be included. It may be good to include current use, trends in its use, as well as its possible combinations with other materials.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Possibly the title should refer to the health aspect, since a large part of the manuscript is related to the effects of asbestos fibers on health.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Consider the previous two comments for the summary aspect.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	-Review and correct punctuation in the text, for example: full stop, commas, parentheses in chemical formulas. -Review the lines separating sections and paragraphs. -Review the wording of the text of the sentences to correct errors such as the following: We have already said that asbestos benefits of are due to its fibers (page 4).	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.		
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	-References with incomplete information, for example: year of publication, date of consultation on internet pages, etc. -It seems they should include more references on the topic of interest.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

BPI Review Form 070520

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jose Luis Reyes Araiza
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autonoma De Queretaro, Mexico