

Review Form2

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1780
Title of the Manuscript:	Corrosion of API 5L X60 Pipeline Steel in Soil and Surface Defects Detection by Ultrasonic Analysis
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Steel corrosion is an important phenomenon that affects the long distance high throughput material transfer pipelines. The paper discusses the corrosion detection using Ultrasonic non destructive method. The conclusions drawn are relevant.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title is Fine	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is fine, with few corrections	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	They are relevant	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript describes the non-contact type steel pipe testing using ultrasound method. This is a standard technique used in industry and information of testing and analysis in the published domain helps the future researchers in this field.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	none	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Overall, technical language is acceptable. Few corrections are: First line in the abstract is not grammatically correct. TCMP full form is Thermo-mechanically Controlled Processed ... not Thermos mechanically Controlled Processed figure 6 is not understandable, and not explained	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ananth S. Iyengar
Department, University & Country	M.S. Ramaiah University of Applied Science, India