

Review Form2

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1780
Title of the Manuscript:	Corrosion of API 5L X60 Pipeline Steel in Soil and Surface Defects Detection by Ultrasonic Analysis
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Corrosion can lead to pipeline failures, which can cause hazardous leaks or explosions. This poses a serious risk to public safety, especially in populated areas	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is good no need to change	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Numerical result has to be in the Abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes appropriate	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Addressing corrosion in API 5L X60 pipeline steel and employing ultrasonic analysis for defect detection are essential practices that enhance safety, protect the environment, reduce costs, ensure regulatory compliance, and maintain public confidence.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	No need , the references sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, article suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

Review Form2

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Prakash
Department, University & Country	St. Peter's Institute of Higher Education and Research, India