

Review Form2

Book Name:	Disease and Health Research - New Insights
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1840
Title of the Manuscript:	Visualization of the obscure inhalation stage in inhalation therapy
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This brief review illustrates how inhalation guidance with TIM can promote effective inhalation techniques, leading to improved patient quality of life. It supports better adherence to treatment, enhances satisfaction with therapy, and boosts motivation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not comprehensive. Addition should be done about the inhalation technique drawbacks.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper is strong scientifically because it tackles the tricky parts of inhalation therapy, like how to use it right and make sure patients keep up with it. These things are super important for making sure treatments work and patients get better. By focusing on doing things right, the paper makes sure everything it talks about is based on good science, which helps a lot in respiratory medicine.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	No	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Authors should ensure that grammar and sentence completeness are thoroughly checked.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dipali Talele
Department, University & Country	Vishwakarma University, India