

Review Form2

Book Name:	Disease and Health Research - New Insights
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1840
Title of the Manuscript:	Visualization of the obscure inhalation stage in inhalation therapy
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The inhaled route is critical for the administration of drugs to treat patients of COPD. This study attempt to adress some of the unmet needs of this subject and provide an innovative insights into inhalation therapy, thus and therefore study seems interesting to the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the study seems suitable for the study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehemsive enough and provides a good snapshot of the entire study	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and Structure of the Manuscript are Appropriate	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct and robust because ,the data collection and analysis correctly answers the research questiion and study design seems apprppropriate and methods are thoroughly described.Theresullts are organized in a logical and coherent manner to facilitate understanding and interpretation	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Refrence are upto date and sufficient	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The languge of the manuscript is scientifically acceptable for communicating the ideas	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nishad Bhatta
Department, University & Country	Nepal