

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Research Progress in Physical Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1843
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Dust Charging and Asymmetric Ion Flow on the Dust Lattice Mode in Complex Plasma
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very much important for scientific community. I highly appreciate the nature of work as it is according to the demands of technological era. As in the absence of magneticfield the behaviour of plasma is very intresting and by knowing its phase behaviour and diffusion, one can contribute towards the energetic systems which are actually runnig this universe. I highly appreciate the work done by the researcher.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes! The title of the article is very much suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes abstract is very much comprehensive and informative, as it explains the nature of work and findings of the researcher, but i think there should be more 2 or 3 lines about a brief methodology to improve the effectiveness of the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript is according to the author's guidelines as per mentioned by the journal.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes! The manuscript is scientifically correct and authentic. I highly appreciate that as it contains all the essential components of a research work. It ill be helpful for scientific community.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. :	All the references are sufficient but not recent, I suggest you that references before 2000 must be avoided as there is much more work in recent times so use references after 2000 and show the latest relevance of scientific research to enhance the quality of citations.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article is good for scholarly communication. Appreciable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form2

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Ahmed Saqib
Department, University & Country	University of Agriculture, Pakistan