

Review Form2

Book Name:	Disease and Health Research - New Insights
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1888
Title of the Manuscript:	Giant Uterine Fibroid: A Case Report of a Young Nulliparous Woman and Literature Review
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Rare disease, hence worth presenting. A valuable addition to literature and scientific community. Nicely elaborated	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is brief, only 110 words, diagnosticwrkup needs elaboration.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In Case history Ultrasound finding tumour size/ measurements not written. CT scan if not done should have been mentioned Introduction brief, 183 words Discussion is long1150 words, partly consists of patient finding which should be shifted to appropriate place. Otherwise good	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	24 references. (Se asperpolicy) No reference of last 5 years	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Overall OK	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Syed Aslam Shah
Department, University & Country	Shaheed Zulfiqr Ali Bhutto Medical University, Rawal Institute of Health Sciences, Pakistan