

Review Form2

Book Name:	Research Advances in Environment, Geography and Earth Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1925
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization and Classification of Soils in a Toposequence of the Semi-arid Basaltic Landscape of Western India
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The soil characteristics were found to follow the landscape and toposequence. The soils were observed very shallow, severely eroded, brownish, gravelly clay loam on the summit and very shallow yellowish-brown severely eroded and very shallow yellowish-brown moderately eroded soils on escarpment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes,	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes,	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes,	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	- The manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. - Materials & methods : the suitability and technical standards of the methods is very good. - Results & discussion : the data well controlled and robust. - The relevant and current references during discussion. - Discussion and conclusions based on actual facts . - Sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses have been carried out. - All the references cited relevant and adequate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. :-	Yes,	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes,	
Optional/General comments	In page (3): there are citions not found in the References AIS & LUS (1971) (Richards, 1954). In page (9): Also there are citions not found in the References byAyam et al.and Kusro et al. in page (11+12): ✚ in all the References : The correct method to write : in the first write <u>the names of authors</u> + <u>the publisher of year.</u>+ the title of the manuscript. Add <u>and</u>before the last author And as it is in all the References	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahrous Abd El-Baset Attia
Department, University & Country	Field Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Centre, Egypt