

Review Form2

Book Name:	Disease and Health Research: New Insights
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2257
Title of the Manuscript:	Are “Sartans” the Common Treatment for COVID-19 and Parkinson’s Disease?
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This publication is noteworthy for investigating the potential role of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) in the relationship between Parkinson's disease and COVID-19. It suggests a novel therapeutic strategy: the use of angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) to treat both disorders. The incorporation of contemporary research on NK cell malfunction and cellular aging into the paper provides insightful information.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	NIL	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript's thorough examination of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) and its connection to COVID-19 and Parkinson's disease (PD) lends it scientific credibility. It links RAS dysregulation and viral infections to cellular senescence and immunological function, skillfully fusing new and known ideas. Preclinical and clinical evidence both support the concept that angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) may be advantageous, highlighting the technical soundness and novel methodology of this research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add more recent additional References	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Satisfactory	
Optional/General comments	Recap key findings and their implications. End with a strong statement on the importance of the research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nasir Mustafa
Department, University & Country	Istanbul Gelisim University, Turkiye