

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1994
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT, IMPLEMENTATION OF AN EFFICIENT AND CHEAP METHOD FOR DNA EXTRACTION FROM DRY LEAVES OF DATE PALM WITHOUT USING LIQUID NITROGEN
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is important for scientific community due to the topic which would make more easy to DNA extract from palm leaves. The authors describe a simple and inexpensive protocol to isolate DNA from dry leaf of date palm which can be used widely in molecular applications.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure and subsections of the paper are well proportioned according to the length of the manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The methods are well described and the experiment follow the right scientific steps. The authors presents and propose a new method which can be widely used in different molecular applications and analysis.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The authors could add more recent references. The most recent one is from 2018. For sure there are numerous more recent references that could be added to the paper.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 2](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Calugar Anamaria
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Science and Veterinary Medicine of ClujNapoca, Romania