

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Medical Research and Its Applications
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1229
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of Pain in Varicocele and the Role of Varicocelectomy in Relieving Pain: A Single Center Prospective Study
Type of the Article	Book chapter

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	This prospective study contributes valuable and much-needed data on a clinically relevant aspect of varicocele, and its findings have important implications for the clinical care of patients with this condition. As such, it represents an important and timely addition to the scientific literature on male reproductive health.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	One potential area for improvement in the title could be to make it more concise and succinct, while still capturing the essence of the study. Here are a few alternative title suggestions that may be considered: "Pain Characteristics in Varicocele and Outcomes of Varicocelectomy: A Prospective Study" This title is more concise while retaining the key elements. It emphasizes the focus on pain characteristics and varicocelectomy outcomes. "Prospective Evaluation of Pain Relief Following Varicocelectomy" This title is even more focused, concentrating primarily on the outcomes of varicocelectomy in relieving pain. It may be suitable if the authors want to place greater emphasis on the effectiveness of the surgical intervention. "Characterizing Pain in Varicocele and the Impact of Varicocelectomy: A Single-Center Prospective Study" This title is similar to the original but rearranges the wording slightly. It maintains the focus on both pain characterization and the impact of varicocelectomy.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Overall, the abstract provides a concise yet comprehensive overview of the study's objectives, methods, results, and conclusions. However, there are a couple of suggestions that could potentially strengthen the abstract: 1. Include more details on the pain assessment tools and varicocele grading system used in the study. - This additional information would help readers better understand the methodology. 2. Consider adding a sentence or two on the limitations of the study. - Acknowledging the limitations, even briefly, would enhance the transparency and balance of the abstract. With these additions, the abstract would provide an even more comprehensive summary of the key aspects of the prospective study. The current abstract is already quite strong, but these suggested enhancements could further improve its informative value.	

BPI Review Form 070520

Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	There are a few minor grammatical or typographical errors (e.g., "shram effect of surgery" instead of "sham effect of surgery"), but these are infrequent and do not significantly detract from the overall readability. Clarity and Coherence: Consistent Use of Terminology: The authors should ensure consistent use of terminology, especially when referring to the same concepts (e.g., "varicocelectomy" vs. "varicocele repair"). Sentence Length and Complexity:	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	Patients and Methods: The methodology section is comprehensive and provides a clear description of the study design, setting, inclusion/exclusion criteria, and the various assessments performed. The authors should explicitly state which reporting guideline (STROBE or SPIROS) they followed and provide the appropriate citation. Additional details on the pain assessment questionnaire and the varicocele grading system would further strengthen this section. With the suggested improvements, particularly in the areas of reporting guideline adherence and potential overlap disclosure, the manuscript has the potential to be accepted for publication. The authors have conducted a well-designed prospective study that contributes valuable insights to the field of varicocele management.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Yes, Overall, the references included in the manuscript are relevant, recent, and sufficient to support the content and conclusions of the study.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Iana Malasevskiaia
Department, University & Country	Yemen