

[BPI Review Form 070520](#)

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1250
Title of the Manuscript:	INDONESIAN’S SHIP SAFETY ASSESSMENT STRATEGY
Type of the Article	Book chapter

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	The manuscript "Indonesian's Ship Safety Assessment Strategy" is crucial for the scientific community due to its potential to improve safety standards, reduce accidents, and enhance maritime operations. It could also contribute to the field of maritime safety research and stimulate further discussions on policy development and technological innovations.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The title "Indonesia's Ship Safety Assessment Strategy" is clear and directly conveys the focus of the manuscript. However, it can be slightly refined for better clarity and impact. Here are a few alternative titles that might be more engaging and descriptive: 1. "Assessing Ship Safety in Indonesia: Strategies and Insights" 2. "Enhancing Maritime Safety: Indonesia's Ship Safety Assessment Strategies" These alternatives aim to provide a clearer sense of the manuscript's scope and significance.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The abstract provides a general overview of the topic but could benefit from more clarity and detail to make it more comprehensive. Here are some suggestions for improvement: - Clarify the context and importance: Mention why ship safety is particularly crucial for Indonesia. - Highlight the problem: Clearly state the main problems leading to ship accidents in Indonesian waters. - Detail the research focus: Specify the methods used for the assessment and the key findings. - Propose solutions: Provide a brief outline of the proposed strategies and their expected impact.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The English quality of the article can be improved to better suit scholarly communications. Here are some suggestions to improve clarity, grammar, and overall readability: - Improve grammatical accuracy: Correct grammar and punctuation errors. - Clarify and condense sentences: Ensure sentences are clear and concise. - Enhance formal tone: Use formal language appropriate for academic writing.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	Comments on the Appropriateness of Different Sections of the Manuscript The abstract provides a clear overview of the problem of ship accidents in Indonesia, emphasizing the high incidence of such accidents. The research objective is to develop a strategy for improving ship safety in Indonesian waters, focusing on four major aspects: Software, Hardware, Environment, and Live-ware. The introduction highlights the importance of human error in maritime accidents and provides statistics to support this claim. However, the introduction lacks context about Indonesia's specific maritime conditions and challenges. The formal ship safety assessment outlines a structured approach to safety assessment, including hazard identification, risk assessment, risk control options, cost-benefit assessment, and recommendations for decision-making. The strategy is comprehensive, covering four major aspects: Software, Hardware, Environment, and Live-ware. However, the clarity and detail of some subsections, integration, and use of tables and figures need improvement. The application of the strategy involves using a strategy matrix to categorize potential	

BPI Review Form 070520

	hazards and determine actions. However, the conclusion could benefit from more specificity about the key findings and recommendations, as well as mentioning areas for future research or next steps in implementing the proposed safety strategy. Overall Recommendations • Proofreading and Editing: The needs thorough proofreading to correct manuscript grammatical errors and improve sentence structure. • Structure and Flow: Organizing sections with clear headings and subheadings would enhance readability. Ensure smooth transitions between sections. • Depth and Detail: Expand on sections that are currently brief to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the safety assessment and strategy. • Citations and References: Ensure all claims and statistics are supported by appropriate references to enhance the credibility of the manuscript.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	The references in the manuscript seem relevant to the topic of ship safety assessment in Indonesian waters, but they might not be sufficient in terms of both quantity and recency. Here are some suggestions for improving the references: • Update the Sources: Ensure that the references include recent studies and reports, particularly those from the last 5-10 years. This will make the research more relevant and up-to-date with current safety practices and technologies. • Diversify the References: Include a wider range of sources such as peer-reviewed journal articles, industry reports, and government publications. This can provide a more comprehensive overview of the topic. • Focus on Key Areas: Ensure that there are sufficient references covering each of the main areas discussed in the manuscript, such as human error, safety management systems, risk assessment methods, and the SHEL model.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aris Sarjito
Department, University & Country	Republic of Indonesia Defense University, Indonesia