

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1250
Title of the Manuscript:	INDONESIAN'S SHIP SAFETY ASSESSMENT STRATEGY
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	THIS MANUSCRIPT IS IMPORTANT FOR THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY SINCE IT HAS INCLUDED TABLES, SYSTEM LOOP, FIGURES AND MODELS TO EXPLAIN CONCEPTS.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	INDONESIA'S SHIP SAFETY MEASURES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	NO. THE ABSTRACT STATES THAT "THE EXISTING CONDITIONS AND EXPERIENCE LEARNED FROM THE PAST, BACKGROUNDS AND SOURCES OF ACCIDENT/INCIDENT WERE INVESTIGATED. HOWEVER, THE FINDINGS HAVE NOT BEEN CLEARLY INDICATED IN THE ABSTRACT. IT HAS HOWEVER, STATED "BASED ON THE FINDINGS FEASIBLE STRATEGY IS PROPOSED, WHICH WILL INCLUDE THE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS; QUALIFICATION OF PERSONNEL, REFINED RULES, AND OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY".	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	YES	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	THE DIFFERENT SECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT ARE APPROPRIATE. THEY HAVE BEEN PROPERLY ARRANGED IN SEQUENCY HOWEVER, SUB-SECTION 4 HAS NOT BEEN INDICATED.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	THE REFERENCES ARE NOT SUFFICIENT AND MANY ARE OVER TEN YEARS AGO. MORE CITATIONS ARE REQUIRED AND THEY SHOULD BE UP TO DATE. SUB-SECTION 4 AND FIVE HAVE NOT BEEN CITED.	

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	James Benjoma Panga
Department, University & Country	Kenya Methodist University, Kenya