

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Current Perspectives in Agriculture and Food Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1537
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-chemical and Nutritional Characterization of Dried Mangoes Consumed in Chad for Better Post-Harvest Valorization
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	The title and abstract cover the main aspects of the work and it spark interest to the right audience. Technical quality and the experiment proposed by the authors are very-well conducted and can be a good start for industrial application. The description of the results is concise and concrete. The authors compared their own results with the results of other researchers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes, the title of the article suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes, the abstract of the article comprehensive. This paper is novel and an advancement of the field.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	the manuscript easy to follow and it has a logical flow and the language of the work is concise, logical and accurate. The authors compared their own results with the results of other researchers.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	All the data for authors (e.g. sequence reads, code, questionnaires used) available for the readers. The choice of analytical methods is accurate.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	The references used were inadequate and non modern Collected bibliography and plate are very broad and adequate to the subject of the publication..	

[BPI Review Form 070520](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mona Abd El-Kader Mohamed Abd El- Gawad
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt