

[BPIReviewForm070520](#)

BookName:	MedicineandMedicalResearch-NewPerspectives
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_BPR_1632
TitleoftheManuscript:	CorrelationbetweentheAmountofAnti-DAntibodiesandIgGSubclasseswithSeverityofHaemolyticDiseaseofFoetusandNewborn
TypeoftheArticle	Bookchapter

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative title in your mind?	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	1. The abstract should provide a clearer representation of the main findings and their clinical implications. 2. The introduction is too detailed and should be condensed to focus on the specific research question and hypothesis. 3. The methods section needs clearer organization and the use of subheadings. 4. More detailed information on the statistical methods used for data analysis is required. E.g. T-tests between 64 and 512 lack predictive value. 5. The rationale for selecting certain threshold values is not adequately explained. 6. The results section contains grammatical errors and awkward phrasing that obscure the interpretation of findings. 7. Some discussion of results appears in the results section, which should be reserved for the discussion section. 8. The conclusion simply reiterates points made earlier without introducing new insights. 9. The manuscript would benefit from substantial grammatical and stylistic editing to improve clarity and readability. In conclusion, the manuscript has the potential to make a valuable contribution to the field. Should publish after the correction.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	NO. The references should be stated in full, e.g. the name of the journal	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[BPIReviewForm070520](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abimbola Amoo
Department, University & Country	University of Louisville, USA