Review Form2

Book Name:	Recent Developments in Chemistry and Biochemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BPR_1788**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ORP should not be used to estimate or compare concentrations of aqueous H2: an in-silico analysis and narrative synopsis**
Type of the Article	**Book chapter**

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback***(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**This manuscript is important for the scientific community and the entire world. It illustrates important ideas.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title should not be a sentence but a concise phrase expressing its study work. It should be corrected to **(an in-silico analysis and narrative synopsis confirming the invalid of Oxidation reduction potential (ORP) use to estimate or compare concentrations of aqueous H2) Any abbreviation should be written in detail for the first time mentioned. That should be applied on the whole paper.**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**There are some grammar and language mistakes that should be corrected on the whole paper. Any measuring unit should be written without space between it and the measuring number. That should be applied on the whole paper.**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**Yes.**	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**5.0 Discussion should be corrected to 4.0 Discussion, and the arrangement of the following numbered titles should be rearranged.**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	**Yes.**	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**		
Optional/Generalcomments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Samah Metwally Mahmoud Abd EL-Aziz**
Department, University & Country	**The National Research Centre, Egypt**