

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Perspectives in Agriculture and Food Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1827
Title of the Manuscript:	Food Insecurity and Its Determinants among Rural Households in the Southern Region of Lesotho
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a critical issue of food insecurity in rural Lesotho, a topic of significant relevance due to its impact on public health, economic stability, and social development. By providing detailed insights into the determinants of food insecurity, this research can inform policy-making and intervention strategies aimed at alleviating hunger and improving the well-being of rural households. The study's comprehensive approach and robust analysis contribute valuable data to a relatively under-documented area, highlighting the importance of localized studies in understanding global challenges.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable as it clearly indicates the focus of the study and its geographical context. However, a more concise alternative could be: "Determinants of Food Insecurity among Rural Households in Southern Lesotho."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, providing a clear summary of the background, aim, methods, results, and conclusions of the study. To enhance clarity, it could benefit from slight rephrasing and breaking down lengthy sentences. Additionally, mentioning the specific predictors of food insecurity in the abstract would provide a clearer snapshot of the findings.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is well-structured with appropriate subsections that logically flow from one to another. The inclusion of a detailed methods section, comprehensive results, and well-articulated discussion ensures that the manuscript is easy to follow and scientifically rigorous.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. The use of a large sample size and appropriate statistical analyses, such as multiple regression, strengthens the validity of the findings. The discussion effectively contextualizes the results within the broader literature, demonstrating a thorough understanding of the subject matter. The study's focus on significant predictors like the number of children, marital status, land availability, and borrowing practices provides clear, actionable insights.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent, covering relevant literature from both global and regional perspectives. However, adding more recent studies from the last two years could enhance the manuscript's timeliness.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is generally suitable for scholarly communications. Minor grammatical corrections and improvements in sentence structure are recommended for better clarity and readability.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jarif Mahbub
Department, University & Country	Daffodil International University, Bangladesh