

Review Form2

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1831
Title of the Manuscript:	Artificial Intelligence in Pathology: Present and Future
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment Strongly Reject	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. The research is indicating a gap in practical implementation. 2. There is often a disconnect between the clinical setting and the self-contained research environment 3. Algorithms discussed also not that much sound. 4. How AI can optimize process streamlining in health care 5. Overall paper is not upto the mark	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Its looks like a paragraph	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	6. The research is indicating a gap in practical implementation. 7. There is often a disconnect between the clinical setting and the self-contained research environment 8. Algorithms discussed also not that much sound. 9. How AI can optimize process streamlining in health care Overall paper is not upto the mark	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =	yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pavan Kumar Pagadala
Department, University & Country	India