

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1866
Title of the Manuscript:	Artificial Intelligence in Libraries: Advancements and User-Focused Innovations
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article discusses how AI is transforming library services to enhance accessibility, efficiency, and user engagement. Key technologies such as machine learning, natural language processing, and computer vision are highlighted for their roles in automated cataloging, metadata management, improved search capabilities, and digital preservation. AI-driven virtual assistants and chatbots provide round-the-clock support, while personalized recommendations and intelligent resource management optimize user experience. Additionally, AI technologies improve accessibility for users with disabilities and facilitate interactive learning through AI-powered educational platforms and immersive technologies like virtual and augmented reality. The article also addresses the ethical considerations and challenges of AI, such as data privacy and bias, emphasizing the importance of responsible AI usage in libraries. Overall, the article underscores AI's pivotal role in creating more inclusive, user-friendly library environments that adapt to the evolving needs of their communities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Emerging AI Technologies in Libraries: Advancements and User-Focused Innovations	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I believe that the abstract covers all the areas which discuss in this paper. Abstract is good.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because it comprehensively integrates recent advancements in AI technologies with practical applications in library services. It accurately identifies and explains key AI technologies such as machine learning, natural language processing, and computer vision, detailing their specific roles and benefits within the library context. The discussion on ethical considerations, including data privacy and bias, demonstrates an awareness of the broader implications of AI, ensuring a balanced and responsible perspective. Furthermore, the manuscript is well-structured, with clear explanations and logical progression, supporting its scientific credibility and technical validity.	

Review Form 2

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The Language/English quality is very good and impressive.	
Optional/General comments	Overall good manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adnan Ullah
Department, University & Country	Riphah International University, Pakistan