

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1866
Title of the Manuscript:	Artificial Intelligence in Libraries: Advancements and User-Focused Innovations
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript would be helpful to the scientific committee due to advancement in technology and AI. The library should not be left out of these wonderful innovations.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is okay. Summary of future directions and innovations should be included	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes they are.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Except otherwise stated based on the number of pages, I think more points should be added on the use of artificial intelligence in the library as it would be an added advantage to the development of the library	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	More recent references that discusses AI in the library environment and/ library automation should be accessed	

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wamdeo, Tikya Chiroma
Department, University & Country	Nigerian Defence Academy, Nigeria