

Review Form2

Book Name:	<a href="#">Recent Developments in Chemistry and Biochemistry Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1911
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Qualities of Different Sources of Water for Mixing Concrete
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<b>This conclusion</b> “The physical specifications were all satisfactory, except for the stream water. The water sources had no significant effect on the workability of concrete. Effects of efflorescence were not observed on hardened concrete specimens obtained from any of the water sources. Concrete Specimens mixed with water from the hand-dug well had the highest compressive strength.” should be rewritten based on the novel findings.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	As stated in 1 <sup>st</sup> comment	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Could be specified “Although theseWater samples that were studied did not attain the maximum parameters in terms ofspecifications for water fit for drinking as well as for mixing concrete they did not show any signsof staining nor efflorescence.”	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Should be double-checked.	
Optional/General comments	No	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Burachat Chatveera
Department, University & Country	Thammasat University, Thailand