# **Review Form2**

Book Name:	Recent Developments in Chemistry and Biochemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1911
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Qualities of Different Sources of Water for Mixing Concrete
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is scientific in nature. It analyses the effect of the source of water in the concrete mix. The topic is deemed significant as it examines the possibility of using different water source that could be available in the construction site.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The abstract provides a clear summary of the study, its methodology, and key findings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 2 (08-07-2024)

# **Review Form2**

Minor REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Ensure consistency in terms (e.g., "Stream" vs. "stream water"). Other important findings should be included in the abstract.	

# PART 2:

		Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Florante D. Poso
Department, University & Country	Polytechnic University of the Philippines, Philippines

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 2 (08-07-2024)