

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research - New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1930
Title of the Manuscript:	Imaging tools for assessment of myocardial fibrosis in humans:the need for greater detail
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is well organized.The literature review is appropriate and good. The introduction part is well explained and shows the importance of the article.The presentation of thoughts used in the paper is notable.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is brief and suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive.It makes the reader to understand the need and importance of the article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is appropriate.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In my opinion the subject matter of research problem is commendable.It is scientifically robust and adds contribution to the relevant field of ineterst. It shows the need for microscopic and nanoscopic analysis of human tissue available through endomyocardial biopsies and surgeries.It gives the importance of newer molecular imaging and non invasive monitoring of molecular signature of fibrosis in patients.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references are adequate and the literature review is good.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the quality of the English is suitable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form2

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thilagavathi Duraisamy
Department, University & Country	Government Chengalpattu Medical College, India