

Review Form2

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2062
Title of the Manuscript:	Calibration of Analytical Instruments as Per ICH & USFDA Guidelines
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript will be useful for the new laboratories and labs which want to get accredited . I like the manuscript considering the guidelines and step wise instruction on how to do the calibration.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Calibration of Analytical Instruments in a speciality laboratory as Per ICH & USFDA Guidelines	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Can give information about recent guidelines with reference.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	okay	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Need to add information about recent ICH & USFDA guidelines along with reference. Mention about the frequency at which these calibration should be done as perICH & USFDA guidelines Please add for which machine and make these calibration technique could be used Otherwise okay	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Need to add recent references within last 5 years. As all are old refernces.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor revision as told above and in the article.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harsha Manoharrao Dangare
Department, University & Country	MAEER MIT Pune’s MIMER Medical Collge, India