

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2108
Title of the Manuscript:	Gene therapy of brain, liver and colon malignancies using anti – gene IGF-I approach
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	By highlighting the anti-gene IGF-I approach, the title draws attention to its integration with immunotherapy, a rapidly advancing field in cancer treatment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Gene Therapy Targeting IGF-I: A Novel Approach for Brain, Liver, and Colon Cancer Treatment	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	- Ensure consistent terminology is used throughout the abstract. For example, "glioblastoma" is mentioned without specifying that it's a type of brain tumor, which might confuse readers unfamiliar with the term - The statement "The described methodology applied in Europe, and previously in the USA for glioblastoma treatment, is introduced now in university hospitals of Colombia (Bogota)" might be better suited for the discussion section of the article rather than the abstract. - The sentence "Targeting the IGF-I has emerged as a useful method to reduce here studied brain, liver and colon malignancies, constituting the objective of the work" is somewhat convoluted. Simplifying this sentence for clarity might be helpful, e.g., "This study aims to evaluate the efficacy of targeting IGF-I in reducing brain, liver, and colon malignancies."	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes Figure quality needs to be enhanced and clear	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript includes comparative studies across different cancer types, reinforcing the broader applicability of the therapeutic approach. The connection between the downregulation of IGF-I and the reappearance of immune markers like B7 and MHC class I antigens further supports the proposed mechanism of action, making the study scientifically credible.	

Review Form 2

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Overall ok	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Serious revision needs to be done for better delivery of the work	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akhilesh Patel
Department, University & Country	NIMS Institute of Pharmacy, India