

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2131
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation between Hba1c, decreased HDL and Abdominal Obesity in Prediabetics of Karnataka State, South India
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I liked this manuscript because of its importance to the scientific community. It is scientific and useful to the population community, because it researched and discussed chronic diabetes and its future risks and complications, especially type 2. Also, obesity plays a major role in developing diabetes in the future. It also emphasized following a healthy diet to prevent developing diabetes in the future.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is not appropriate and I suggested another title for the article : Correlation between HbA1C and HDL levels in prediabetics and non-diabetic of Karnataka State , South India .	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the article summary is comprehensive in defining the disease, conclusions and recommendations. I do not suggest any additions.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It was somewhat appropriate that another test could have been used in analyzing the results instead of the ANOVA test, which is the Shaprio-Wilk test, to make comparisons between the samples under study.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think that this manuscript is scientific and technically sound to some extent because it investigated the relationship between the level of cumulative sugar, the hump file, and abdominal obesity. I think that this study is important because it showed the results of the association between these variables because high fat has risks of diabetes and hardening of the arteries and blood vessels. Also, abdominal obesity is an indication of chronic diseases in the future, especially type 2 diabetes. It also recommended following a healthy diet to prevent the risks of diabetes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	Yes, the sources are sufficient, up-to-date and appropriate for the research topic.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the English language was appropriate, clear, understandable to the reader, and suitable for academic communication.	
Optional/General comments	In general, I believe that many scientific and academic studies must be conducted due to their importance in the scientific and population community and for the purpose of raising awareness in society of the importance of physical health and following a healthy diet in our daily diet with physical exercises to prevent chronic diseases	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eman Sameer Mohammed
Department, University & Country	University of Mousl, College of Science, Iraq