

Review Form2

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2142
Title of the Manuscript:	Teachers' Perceptions of the Utilization of Alternative Assessment in the Virtual Classroom in Trinidad and Tobago
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yeah, I think that this manuscript is important for scientific community. This issue is novelty for the other researchers, because alternativeassessment is one of ways to assess virtual classroom. Thus, we need the results how the teachers' perceptions related to the alternativeassessment in assessing virtual classroom.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think that the title is suitable and appropriate for that research.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yap, the abstract is comprehensive. I think overall is good. I have no suggestions	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	I think no, overall is fine. I got the point what the author means.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Sure, the English quality of this article is suitable for scholarly communication. In my opinion, I can understand the manuscript easily. Of course, because of the writer's English quality is very good and clear.	
Optional/General comments	I think, the author made many topics, from 1 until 10. The author can make sub topics in the Introduction.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Miftahurrizki Habiburrahman
Department, University & Country	Indonesia