

Review Form2

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2144
Title of the Manuscript:	Independent English Learning through the Internet
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript talks about a recent issue and may have various implications for learners, instructors, and policy makers. However, certain adjustments are necessary for this manuscript to be published. You can find comments regarding these below.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I suggest to include several implications regarding several stakeholders (I mentioned above) and directions for further research.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Sub-sections under the main heading of APPROACHES OF INDEPENDENT ENGLISH LEARNING THROUGH THE INTERNET include very limited information and I think they need to be merged under appropriate sub-titles regarding the content. Moreover, this section needs an introductory paragraph on the approaches since the sub-sections are not about the approaches but some tools. I think this section needs to be re-written. What is more, differences between traditional learning, learning through internet and the connection of this issue with independent learning should be touched upon in a paragraph at the beginning of the chapter.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There are very limited references and almost all are quite old. For the whole manuscript, the author(s) need to revise all sections and add necessary up-to-date references. The manuscript seems to date back to 2000s only. Since this is a very recent issue, the readers need to have information about the recent status of independent learning through internet. A separate section can be added regarding the use of AI, its challenges, suggestions for its effective use, and future directions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	No. the references are not sufficient. This manuscript needs to be revised thoroughly regarding this issue. Existing references are very old and they cannot give the reader about the current importance of the topic under investigation.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of the manuscript needs to be revised thoroughly. There are many grammar, spelling, and typo errors and they are uncountable. The author(s) may resort to some programs like Grammarly, check the language use and rewrite the manuscript. There are even typo errors like “ instead of ‘, and this is unacceptable for a book chapter.	
Optional/General comments	This article needs a careful revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Safiye Ipek Kuru Gonen
Department, University & Country	Anadolu University, Turkiye