

Review Form2

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2160
Title of the Manuscript:	Learning Outside the Classroom: How Principals Define and Prepare to Be Instructional Leaders
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the role of principals as instructional leaders, highlighting the specific actions and preparations that contribute to effective instructional leadership. By focusing on qualitative data from practicing principals, the study offers a nuanced understanding of the challenges and successes in this area. The findings can inform principal preparation programs and professional development initiatives, making it a significant contribution to educational leadership research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, "Learning Outside the Classroom: How Principals Define and Prepare to Be Instructional Leaders," is appropriate as it accurately reflects the study's focus on the experiences and perceptions of principals regarding instructional leadership.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from a more detailed summary of the key findings. Adding a brief mention of the implications for principal preparation programs and professional development would strengthen the abstract. For example, include a sentence like, "The study's findings highlight the importance of targeted professional development in areas such as teacher supervision, use of technology, and data-driven instruction."	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. Each section logically follows from the previous one, providing a clear flow of information from the introduction and literature review to the methods, findings, and discussion.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. It employs a well-defined qualitative methodology to explore the research questions, and the data analysis is thorough and systematic. The use of open-ended surveys and NVIVO software for coding and theme identification ensures the reliability and validity of the findings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and include recent studies that support the research. However, incorporating a few more recent articles on instructional leadership, particularly those published in the last two to three years, could further strengthen the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications. The manuscript is well-written, with clear and concise language. Minor grammatical corrections and a thorough proofreading to eliminate any typographical errors would enhance readability.	
Optional/Generalcomments	Consider adding a section on the limitations of the study and suggestions for future research.	

Review Form2

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adefisayo Simon Ojo
Department, University & Country	Washington State University, USA