

Review Form2

Book Name:	Research Advances in Environment, Geography and Earth Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2175
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF WATER QUALITY OF IKOP LAKE AND ITS PERIPHERAL FISH FARMS
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this manuscript, because the results of this research are important for evaluating the extent to which cultivation activities around Icoplake influence the quality of Icoplake water. Research data can be used as a basis for anticipating a decline in water quality in Icop lake.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I think it's not comprehensive enough. research results and conclusions need to be added	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Please select one of the data displays. The same data can only be displayed in tabular or graphical form. select one. Preferably in one table/graph showing together about physiochmeical data from Ikop lake andits peripheral fish farms	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Judging from the research methods presented, it can be concluded that this research can be scientifically justified.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Please add latest library	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form2

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farida Nur Rachmawati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Jenderal Soedirman, Indonesia