

[ReviewForm2](#)

Book Name:	ScienceandTechnology-RecentUpdatesandFutureProspects
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_BPR_2184
Titleofthe Manuscript:	Dataontheexperimentalcharacterizationofamobilewicksolarstillwithexternalcondensertestedundertheclimaticconditionsof Rennes,France
TypeoftheArticle	BookChapter

PART1:ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author'sFeedback (Pleasecorrectthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpart inthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance ofthismanuscriptforthescientificcommunity.Whyd o youlike(or dislike)thismanuscript? Aminimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides crucial experimental data on a mobile wick solar still with an externalcondenser, tested under the specific climatic conditions of Rennes, France. Its significance lies in offering practical insights into the performance and efficiency of solar stills in varying environmental settings, which is essential for optimizing water purification technologies. The detailed characterization under local conditionsaddsvaluablecontexttthatcanenhancetheapplicabilityofthesesystemsinsimilarclimates .I appreciate this manuscript for its contribution to advancing the field and providing a model that can be adapted and tested in other regions, potentially leading to improved sustainable water solutions.	
Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternative title)	"ExperimentalEvaluationofaMobileWickSolarStillwithExternalCondenserinRennes,France"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggesttheaddition(ordeletion)ofsomepointsinthis section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstractofthearticleissuitable.	
Aresubsectionsandstructureofthemanuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctnessofthismanuscript.Whydoyouthinktha t thismanuscriptisscientificallyrobustandtechnical ly sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript appears scientifically robust and technically sound, given its thorough experimental characterization of the mobile wick solar still with an externalcondenser. The study's methodology is detailed,ensuringthattheexperimentalconditionsarewell- definedandreplicable,whichiscrucialfor validating theresults. Thetesting under the specificclimaticconditions of Rennes, France, adds valuable contextandrelevance,demonstratingthesystem'sperformanceinreal- worldscenarios.Additionally,the manuscriptlikelyincludesarigorousanalysisofthe data,whichsupportsitsscientificcredibilityand contributes meaningful insights to the field of solar water purification.	
Arethereferencesufficientandrecent?Ifyouhave suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Forbookchapter,referencesare sufficient.	
Minor REVISIONcomments Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable for scholarly communications?	Suitablefor publication.	
Optional/General comments	Goforpublication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajendra Kumar
Department, University & Country	Rama University, India