

Review Form2

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2227
Title of the Manuscript:	Reimagining the Online Learning Experience for Peak Performance
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This recent time the academic and industrial sector had already embraced the platforms of online transactions. Assessing the challenges and opportunities of everyone's experience is an important part to better find ways of a better performance. That is why, the paper demonstrates a significant impact to improve the usual process.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is also suggested to include a particular method in the analysis of the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes it is.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript shows a scientific correctness as it weigh the opportunités and challenges in embracing the use of online platforms. Also, the results of the systematic review has been applied in the case of COVID-19 where everyone in the community utilized the online platform.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yet it is. But it is suggested to use the most recent works or even 5 years back.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pilita A. Amahan
Department, University & Country	Occidental Mindoro State College, Philippines