

ReviewForm2

BookName:	MedicineandMedicalResearch:NewPerspectives
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_BPR_2279
Title ofthe Manuscript:	Ethnomedicinalknowledgeofplantsusedinnon-conventionalmedicineinthemanagementofdiabetesmellitusinKinshasa (Democratic Republic of Congo)
Typeof the Article	Book chapter

PART1: ReviewComments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISIONcomments	Reviewer’scomment	Author’sFeedback(Pleasecorrectthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpart inthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why doyoulike(ordislike)thismanuscript?Aminimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I liked this manuscript because, Medicinal plants have a vast potential in the treatment of variousailmentsduetothepresenceoftherapeuticallyimportantphytochemicals.Diabetesis a serious metabolic disorder and several marketed medications are available to alleviate the symptoms of diabetes. Although medicinal plants have a long history of use in the treatment of diseases however, their acceptance and application in modern medicine need time. From this article we can know some medicinal plants of Kinshasa region which has medicinal properties to cure diabetes. It will help people to know the ethnomedicinal plants knowledge abouttheparticular disease.	
Isthethitleofhearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)	Thetitleofhearticleisgood.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggesttheadition(ordelation)ofsomepointsinthis section? Please write your suggestions here.	IntheabstractofhearticleIcanseethetimeofthesurveywasin2005to2006.Ithinkthedataofthisar ticleis toooldandinthiscurrentworldtheresearchersneednewdata.Pleaseconductasurveynewlyand putnewdata.	
Aresubsectionsandstructureofthemanuscript appropriate?	Yesit'sokbutthesubheadingofmethodsyouthavetocheck	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctnessofthismanuscript.Whydoyouthinkthat thismanuscriptisscientificallyrobustandtechnically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required forthispart.	Themanuscriptistechnicallysoundfortheresearchersbutthedataofthismanuscriptisnotrece ntitsfrom 2005 to 2006 its not good for the researchrs and todays world. In this manuscript I can see some statistical analysis which are nicely arranged.The tables are nice and informative overall this manuscript is good for publish but you have to take care of its quality	
Arethereferencessufficientandrecent?Ifyouhave suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Thereferencesarenotrecent.Soauthorhavetoputnewreferenceslikefrom2020tom2024.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISIONcomments	AuthorshavetoimprovetheEnglishquality.	
Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofhearticlesuitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overallthemanuscriptisgoodbutthedatawhicharegivenistoooldthesurveywasheldon2005- 2006itsnot good for researchers and all. The references are also not new and its not in appropriate manner.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jyotirmoy Das
Department, University & Country	Girijananda Chowdhury University, India