

ReviewForm2

BookName:	MedicineandMedicalResearch:NewPerspectives
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_BPR_2279
TitleoftheManuscript:	Ethnomedicinalknowledgeofplantsusedinnon-conventionalmedicineinthemanagementofdiabetesmellitusinKinshasa (DemocraticRepublicofCongo)
TypeoftheArticle	Bookchapter

PART1:ReviewComments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author'sFeedback(Pleasecorrect themanuscript andhighlightthatpart in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedbackhere)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importanceof this manuscript for the scientific community. Why doyou like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4sentences mayberequiredforthispart.	Thisstudyand its findingsaresignificantin understandingindepthonthe ethnomedicinalplants beingused byinthe area. Documentation of the herbals used in traditional formulations is pivotal in conserving local wisdom inthearea.	
Isthethitleofthearticlesuitable? (If not pleasestuggestanalternativetitle)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do yousuggesttheaddition(or deletion)ofsomepointsinthissection?Pleasewriteyoursugges tionshere.	Suggestions areinthemanuscripts comments	
Aresubsectionsandstructureof themanuscriptappropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientificcorrectness of this manuscript. Why do you think thatthis manuscript is scientifically robust and technicallysound? A minimumof3-4sentencesmayberequired forthispart.	The manuscript is of high quality but some suggestions are written in comments. Some suggestionsincludes using traditional formulation instead of recipes. Other than that, there are some graphs/charts that needstranslationtoEnglish.	
Arethereferencesufficientandrecent?Ifyouhavesuggestio ns of additional references, please mentionthem inthereviewform.	Notsureofthe formatforthe references.Onereference havetoomanyauthors,notsureifallauthorssho uld beincluded.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISIONcomments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitableforscholarlycommunications?	Yes,butcanbe improved	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wardah Mustafa Din
Department, University & Country	School of Liberal Studies, The National University of Malaysia, Malaysia