

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Recent Developments in Chemistry and Biochemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2542
Title of the Manuscript:	Theoretical study of Properties of Indoline-2,3-dione-3-oxime using Chemicalize Software
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	By determining key properties such as pKa, isoelectric point, logP, logD, solubility, molecular geometry, and H-NMR using Chemicalize software, the manuscript offers valuable insights into IDOX's pharmacokinetics and molecular interactions. These findings enhance the understanding of IDOX's therapeutic potential and its mechanism of action, paving the way for the development of new treatment strategies for anxiety, excitatory behavior, and related neurological disorders	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Some Suggestions are: 1.Abstract is too short which will not meet the journal requirement, abstract should not be less than 250 words 2. In appropriate citation and formate 3. Mention about the software details and also highlights discuss about IDOX 4. In Table 4. Mention information is not appropriate 5. Missing fig.No. and Name 6.In fig3. PH of IDOX isoelectric point 2.8 in missing and not justified 7.Elaborate the solubility and the given data and the graph is not appropriate and justified its varying 8.Reference and citation is not up to the mark and not in proper format 9.Kindly formatting the table and fig. Properly	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Some graph is not appropriate its varying from the given data	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes I think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References is not up to the mark	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ambiguous	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sourav Khawas
Department, University & Country	Jharkhand Rai University, India