

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	<u>PUBLIC SECTOR PROCUREMENT IN GHANA Volume One (1)</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1573
Title of the Manuscript:	PUBLIC SECTOR PROCUREMENT IN GHANA Volume One (1)
Type of the Article	Complete Book

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	1. Yes, I think this manuscript is scientifically important and also very interesting. However, I would like to point out the following comments: 2. Perhaps the authors can also discuss some of the latest research related to their topic. For example, the following conference paper studies the contribution of Artificial Intelligence for public procurement. I quote their abstract below: 3. "...The objective of this paper is to carry out a literature review, to identify the various research that have addressed the subject of the contribution of Artificial Intelligence for public procurement, and to highlight the field of public procurement, where Artificial Intelligence could be used, such as analyzing data generated in the process, fighting corruption, and enhancing the efficiency of public procurement, as detailed in this paper...." 4. "The Artificial Intelligence and Public Procurement." by BerraidaRiyad and El Abbadi Laila in 2024 IEEE 15th International Colloquium on Logistics and Supply Chain Management (LOGISTIQUA)	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The title is fine.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The abstract is comprehensive enough.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The English quality is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The subsections and structure are appropriate.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	The references are sufficient and up to date.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eric Li
Department, University & Country	The University of Hong Kong, China