

Review Form2

Book Name:	Case Based Discussion In Community Medicine
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2179
Title of the Manuscript:	Case Based Discussion In Community Medicine
Type of the Article	Complete Book

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	- I appreciate the manuscript's comprehensive coverage of various topics in community medicine, from infectious diseases to non-communicable diseases and nutritional disorders. - The cases are presented in a way that simulates real-world scenarios, which enhances the learning experience and prepares readers to apply their knowledge to actual healthcare settings. - The inclusion of background information, discussion questions, and potential solutions for each case makes the book an interactive and engaging learning tool.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	- The title of the article, "Case-Based Learning in Community Medicine," is suitable as it accurately reflects the content and purpose of the document. - The title conveys that the manuscript is designed to enhance learning in community medicine through the use of case studies, which is a practical and effective approach to education in this field. It is clear and informative, indicating that the document is intended for medical students, healthcare professionals, and educators interested in community medicine. - Therefore, there is no need to suggest an alternative title.	

Review Form2

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provided is indeed comprehensive as it succinctly summarizes the purpose, structure, and anticipated benefits of the book "Case-Based Learning in Community Medicine." It outlines the book's approach to bridging the gap between theory and practice, the active engagement of readers through case studies, and the emphasis on critical thinking and evidence-based decision-making. The abstract also mentions the book's relevance for various stakeholders, including medical students, healthcare professionals, and educators.However, to further enhance the abstract and provide a more detailed overview, I suggest the following additions: - Specific Case Studies: Briefly mention one or two of the case studies included in the book to give readers a taste of the practical scenarios they will encounter. - Multidisciplinary Approach: Highlight any multidisciplinary perspectives integrated into the case studies, as this is crucial for community medicine which often requires collaboration across various healthcare fields. - Cultural and Socioeconomic Factors: Emphasize how the book addresses cultural competence and socioeconomic determinants of health, as these are key aspects in community medicine that impact health outcomes. - Global Health Perspective: If applicable, mention whether the book includes a global health perspective, given that community medicine often involves understanding health issues on an international scale. - Interactive Elements: Detail any interactive elements such as discussion questions, quizzes, or online resources that accompany the case studies to enhance learning. - Feedback from Users: If available, include a snippet of feedback or a testimonial from a reader or reviewer to add credibility and demonstrate the book's impact. - Educational Standards: Mention any educational standards or guidelines the book adheres to, such as those set by the National Medical Commission, to assure readers of its quality and relevance to current medical education standards. By incorporating these suggestions, the abstract would provide a more detailed and compelling introduction to the book, enticing readers to explore the comprehensive case-based learning approach it offers.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	For clarity and ease of navigation, the manuscript would benefit from a more organized structure with clearly defined sections and subsections, possibly including: Introduction Case Presentations - Case 1: Fever with chills and rigor (Mr. Sundar) - Case 2: Abdominal pain (patient with flushed face) - Additional cases as applicable Investigations and Diagnosis - Blood film abnormalities - Other diagnostic procedures Management and Treatment Prevention and Control Measures Conclusion References Within each case presentation, it would be helpful to have a consistent format that includes: Patient history Clinical examination	

Review Form2

	Investigations Discussion questions Answers and explanations This structured approach would ensure that readers can easily follow the progression of each case and understand the reasoning behind the diagnostic and management decisions.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript's technical soundness is further supported by its adherence to current medical standards and guidelines. For example, the case on diabetes mellitus (Case 4) includes fasting blood glucose, HbA1c, and random blood glucose levels, which are standard measures used in the diagnosis and management of diabetes. The integration of clinical cases with public health strategies and community interventions ensures that learners not only understand the pathophysiology of diseases but also grasp the broader implications for population health.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Three comments: 1. including references that discuss the latest global health challenges, emerging infectious diseases, and the integration of technology in medical education would provide a more comprehensive understanding of current trends in the field. 2. Add DOI to the current references 3. Add more recent references specially for the updated guidelines	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	However, there are some grammatical inconsistencies and typographical errors that should be corrected to ensure the document meets the high standards of scholarly communication. For instance, the use of "Bloor Smear" instead of "Blood Smear" is a typographical error that should be addressed. Additionally, the document could benefit from a thorough proofreading to correct any other errors that may have been overlooked.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form2](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elhadi Miskeen
Department, University & Country	University of Bisha, Saudi Arabia