

Review Form2

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2188
Title of the Manuscript:	Texture Segmentation Approach Based on Entropy Based Local Binary Pattern Operator
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of this manuscript for the scientific community lies in its potential to advance our understanding in the field of Texture segmentation. It introduce novel methodologies in the field.It can provide comprehensive data that can be a foundation for future research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate. It lacks- literature review, experimental methodology and future scope sections.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, references are not sufficient and recent. References are very old. It lacks references of last 5 years.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Quality of English is suitable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form2

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Agnivesh
Department, University & Country	DAV College, CSJM University, India