

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2205
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute Dengue Myositis in a Pediatric Patient—An Uncommon Complication of a Common Disease: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author assumes as an object of study one of the emerging and re-emerging infections that have had a significant impact on global public health: dengue. Dengue fever is an arboviral disease caused by the dengue virus transmitted by the bite of female Aedes aegypti mosquitoes, affecting people worldwide often causing pandemics in Southeast Asian countries. Dengue is one of the most deadly vector-borne diseases today.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. Although the objective of the article must be included and the general conclusions advanced.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1.- Dengue is studied, a disease with a significant impact on global public health. 2.- Data is used 3.- Important information is provided.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are neither sufficient nor recent. Only 50% belong to the last decade and 33.3% to the last five years.	

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	1.- A deeper discussion must be held with other approaches and authors not only in the area but at an international level. 2.- References must be updated and enriched. 3.- The objective of the manuscript must be incorporated in the abstract and Introduction	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manuel De Jesus Verdecia Tamayo
Department, University & Country	University of Granma, Cuba