

Review Form2

Book Name:	Disease and Health Research: New Insights
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2394
Title of the Manuscript:	Navigating the Health Implications of Post-COVID-19 Vaccination: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important for the scientific community as the author/s investigated the complex setting of post-COVID-19 vaccination health implications. They explored COVID-19 multifaceted impacts on the immune system, encompassing discussions on cytokine storms, susceptibility variations among different populations, autoimmune manifestations, and the emergence of long-term effects such as chronic inflammation and the enigmatic long COVID-19 syndrome. They have discussed about what happened after COVID-19 vaccination, where individuals commonly experience a range of mild to moderate side effects, typically resolving within a few days. This is important so the community will know how to assess themselves and their family on what symptoms they have; like having localized symptoms such as pain, redness, and swelling at the injection site, along with systemic reactions like fatigue, headache, muscle pain, chills, fever, and nausea. In addition, they also discussed manner how discomfort can be felt by the individual patient such as transient, which reactions indicate the immune system's response to vaccine antigens and are considered normal signs of the body building protection against the virus. All in all, these discussions means that the community must monitor and manage different strategies, including adequate hydration, rest, and over-the-counter pain relievers if needed, which can help alleviate symptoms and enhance overall vaccine tolerability. Additionally, this comprehensive review providedthe community different useful insights for navigating the post-COVID-19 vaccine health implications.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title suits the article best. It reveals what the researchers want to convey in the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive as it includes information that is important for understanding the content of the manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The article on Navigating the Health Implications of Post-COVID-19 Vaccination: A Comprehensive Review has appropriate discussions in its different sections, from the abstract; introduction;review and conclusions. The review was managed properly by the authors in the different sections of the manuscript which made it clearer and more understandable to its readers.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think the manuscript is scientifically correct as it concludes about thecomprehensive exploration of post-COVID-19 vaccination health effects, with a focus on the immune system's responses, including cytokine storms, susceptibility variations, autoimmune manifestations, chronic inflammation, and long COVID-19 syndrome.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention	The references sufficient and recent.	

Review Form2

them in the review form. -		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I think the article's quality in using English is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ma. Cecilia O. Martinez
Department, University & Country	Pamantasan ng Lungsod ng Maynila, Philippines